

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NATIONAL INTERSTATE INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIKE TAMANA FREIGHT LINES, LLC,
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:20-cv-01829-DAD-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO SHOW
CAUSE IN WRITING WHY MONETARY
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT ISSUE FOR
FAILURE TO COMPLY

FIVE-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff National Interstate Insurance Company initiated this action on December 29, 2020. (ECF No. 1.) On March 17, 2022, the parties submitted a notice of settlement. (ECF No. 20.) On March 18, 2022, the Court issued an order vacating all matters and requiring the parties to file dispositional documents by April 7, 2022. (ECF No. 21.) The deadline to file dispositional documents has passed, but nothing has been filed.

Local Rule 110 provides that “[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. Bautista v. Los Angeles Cnty., 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir.

2000).

The Court shall require the parties to show cause why monetary sanctions should not issue for the failure to file dispositional documents in compliance with its March 18, 2022 order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The parties shall show cause in writing within **five (5) days** of the date of entry of this order why monetary sanctions should not issue for the failure to file dispositional documents as required by the March 18, 2022 order; and
2. Failure to comply with this order will result in the issuance of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 11, 2022**


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE